

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/724,133	12/01/2003	Joon Hyeon Lee	P69337US0	1214	
136 75	90 12/27/2005		EXAM	INER	
	JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.		DEO, DUY VU NGUYEN		
SUITE 600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004		1765		

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/724,133	LEE, JOON HYEON
		Examiner	Art Unit
		DuyVu n. Deo	1765
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Property of the property of the maximum statutory period versely within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Oc</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 October 2005</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachmen	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/724,133 Page 2

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Liu et al. (US 6,117,345).

Liu teaches a method for forming wiring lines comprising: depositing a metal layer 24 on a semiconductor structure (col., line 50-64); forming an insulating layer 28 and a photoresist on the metal layer (col. 4, line 30-40); patterning the insulating layer 28 using the pattern photoresist pattern to form an etching mask (col. 7, line 37-42); etching the metal line by using the photoresist and the insulating layer as a mask with gas mixture comprises Cl2 and BCl2, which would produce metal polymer on the pattern insulating film (col. 7, line 37-55); removing the photoresist and isotropically etching the insulating, this would also remove any metal polymer that forms on the insulating layer (col. 8, line 55; col. 9, line 25-32, 40-44).

Referring to claims 4 and 5, the insulating layer includes nitride and oxide (claimed top layer in the semiconductor structure).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/724,133

Art Unit: 1765

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2, 3, 6, 7, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Gutsche et al. (US 6,117,353).

Referring to claims 2 and 3, Liu doesn't describe the metal layer includes TiN below and above the Al, and a BARC between the photoresist and the insulating layer. This structure is typical and known to one skilled in the art as shown here by Gutsche (col. 1, line 25-45; col. 5, line 33-50). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to modify Liu in light of Gutsche's teaching in order to form a structure for forming a metal lines as taught by Gutsche (col. 1, line 5-19) with a reasonable expectation of success.

Referring to claim 7, Gutsche further teaches etching through the hard mask, which would include etching the BARC and the insulating layer, using a mixture of CF4, CHF3, and Ar.

Referring to claim 11, Gutsche further teaches of cleaning the substrate after the etching process (col. 6, line 40-45).

5. Claim 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu and Gutsche as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Fujiwara et al. (US 6,232,209).

Referring to claim 8, above applied prior art doesn't describe etching the BARC and the insulating layer in a single etch step using gas mixture including CxFy, O2, and Ar. Fujiwara teaches an etching mixture for antireflective layer and the insulating layer including CF4, O2, and Ar (col. 11, line 41-55). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to etch the two layer in light of Fujiwara because he teaches another mixture that is known to one skilled in the

Application/Control Number: 10/724,133 Page 4

Art Unit: 1765

art at the time of the invention to etch the two layer where the photoresist can be removed at the same time (col. 11, line 55-65) with a reasonable expectation of success.

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu, Gutsche, and Fujiwara as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Keil et al. (US 2002/0173160).

Referring to claim 9, Fujiwara further etching the antireflective and the insulating layer separately (col. 14, line 55-65). Unlike claimed invention, Fujiwara doesn't describe etching the antireflective using gas including N2. Keil teaches a mixture for etching antireflective including O2, N2, and Ar (claim 1; paragraph [0006]). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to etch the antireflective in light of Keil, when etching separately, since Keil teaches that the gas mixture would etch the antireflective layer selectively to the photoresist and the insulating layer (paragraph [0006]).

7. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Lin et al. (US 6,063,695).

Referring to claim 10, Liu is silent about the gas mixture for isotropic etching of the insulating layer; however, isotropic etching using O2/CF4 for the insulating layer is well known to one skilled in the art as shown here by Lin (col. 5, line 35-45) (claimed down flow method). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to isotropically etch the insulating layer in light of Lin's teaching because Lin further describes the method and gas that is silent by Liu for the etching of the of the insulating layer with a reasonable expectation of success.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/724,133 Page 5

Art Unit: 1765

8. Referring to applicant's argument that Liu fail to disclose a metal polymer as cited in claim 1, Liu describes using gas mixture of C2/BCl2 to etch the metal line. Since they are similar to the claimed etching gas disclosed in page 6, line 21 of the specification, Liu's metal line etching would provide metal polymer on the insulating pattern 28. Furthermore, Liu describes isotropically etching the insulating pattern 28 (please see col. 9, line 25-32, 40-44), which is also cited in claim 1, any metal polymer on the insulating pattern 28 would also have to be removed along with the insulating film.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DuyVu n. Deo whose telephone number is 571-272-1462. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-2:30 Mon-Fri.

Art Unit: 1765

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571-272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner Duy-Vu N. Deo 12/21/05